Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydro-1H-azepine and 1H-Azepin-2(3H)-one Derivatives From Intramolecular Condensation between Stable Tertiary Enamides and Aldehydes

Wenju Zhu, Liang Zhao, and Mei-Xiang Wang*

MOE Key Laboratory of Bioorganic Phosphorus Chemistr[y a](#page-9-0)nd Chemical Biology, Department of Chemistry, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-9-0)STRACT: [A new strate](#page-9-0)gy to construct 2,3-dihydro-1Hazepine and $1H$ -azepin-2($3H$)-one heterocyclic rings is reported based on emerging tertiary enamide synthons. Under very mild conditions employing $BBr₃$ as a Lewis acid catalyst and P_2O_5 as an additive, tertiary enamides that contain a formyl group underwent highly efficient and scalable

intramolecular cyclic condensation to afford diverse 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and 1H-azepin-2(3H)-one derivatives in 71−96% yields. The reaction proceeded most probably through a nucleophilic addition of enamides to aldehyde, deprotonation, and dehydration cascade. Application of the method in the synthesis of dihydro-azepino^[2,1-a]isoindol-5-ones, the core structure of naturally occurring lennoxamine, was also demonstrated.

ENTRODUCTION

Azepines and especially their partially saturated structures occur frequently in natural products and synthetic pharmaceuticals (Figure 1). (−)-Balanol (A), for example, is an azepane-

Figure 1. Representative examples of azepine ring-containing natural products and synthetic pharmaceuticals.

containing metabolite from Verticillium balanoids.^{1,2} It shows potent ATP-competitive inhibitory activity³ and has served as a template for the development of a number of [ana](#page-9-0)logues as antitumor agents.⁴ (\pm [\)](#page-10-0)-Chilenamine (B)⁵ and (\pm)-lennoxamine $(C)^6$ are among a number of isoindolobenzazepine alkaloids isolated [fr](#page-10-0)om Chilean Berberis sp[ec](#page-10-0)ies. On the other hand, 10,1[1-](#page-10-0)dihydro-5H-dibenzo[b,f]azepine compounds such as imipramine (D) and chlomipramine (E) are inhibitors of monoamine transporters and are used clinically as antidepressant drugs. Recently, a series of substituted 7,8,9,10,11,11ahexahydro-5H-azepino[2,1-a]isoindol-5-one derivatives including compound F have been shown to be novel and potent urotensin-II receptor antagonists. $8,9$ Because of the interesting conformational structures and wide applications in the synthesis of natural products [and](#page-10-0) bioactive compounds of medicinal significance, studies on the construction of azepines and related structures have always attracted great attentions of organic and medicinal chemists. To access the seven-membered heterocyclic derivatives, both cyclization of linear precursors and ring transformation of a three- to six-membered ring reactant are predominant synthetic methods.^{10,11} Among various cyclization methods documented in literature, formation of a C−N bond through displacement [react](#page-10-0)ions and formation of a C−C-double bond through olefin metathesis constitute the general and widely used strategies in synthesis. Despite the use of many prefunctionalized materials in cyclization reactions, construction of azepines and their derivatives with an N-vinyl component has not yet been reported.^{10a}

As the N-vinyl-bearing compounds, tertiary enamides are remarkable and useful chemical entities because of their easy availability, intriguing stability, and reactivity.^{12−14} In comparison to conventional enamines, secondary and tertiary enamides have long been recognized as chemically stabl[e spe](#page-10-0)cies because of the electron-withdrawing effect of N-acyl group. In recent years, secondary enamides have been shown to act as aza-ene components to undergo aza-ene addition reactions with reactive enophiles.¹⁵ On the contrary, no reactions occurred when tertiary enamides were employed instead of secondary enamides.¹⁵ Howe[ve](#page-10-0)r, based on the cross conjugation system

Received: [A](#page-10-0)ugust 29, 2015 Published: November 9, 2015 within the molecules, we proposed a few years ago that the effective tuning of delocalization of lone pair electrons on nitrogen into the carbon−carbon double bond would reinvigorate the nucleophilic activity of enamides. 12 In line with this working hypothesis, enaminic reactions of tertiary enamides have been successfully established toward [epo](#page-10-0)xides,¹ carbonyls, 17 imines, 18 and nitriliums, 19 providing unique and powerful methods for the synthesis of diverse compoun[ds](#page-10-0) including [fi](#page-10-0)ve-, $16b,17a,b$ $16b,17a,b$ $16b,17a,b$ six- $16b,17c,18,19a,b$ $16b,17c,18,19a,b$ and eight-membered heterocycles.^{16a} To further explore the synthetic utility of this emerging type [of synth](#page-10-0)ons, [we undertoo](#page-10-0)k the current study of intramolecul[ar](#page-10-0) cyclization reaction of tertiary enamides with aldehydes, envisioning a novel strategy to construct sevenmembered nitrogenous heterocycles. We report herein a highly efficient synthesis of 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and 1H-azepin- $2(3H)$ -one derivatives. Facile constructions of ring-fused azepine structures that resemble novel and potent urotensin-II receptor antagonists F are also demonstrated.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We have shown in our previous study that tertiary enamides are able to undergo intramolecular and intermolecular nucleophilic addition to aldehydes to form 4-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine derivatives.^{17c} It was envisioned that the similar cyclization reaction of 1a would afford hydroxylated 4,5,6,7 tetrahydro-1H-azi[pne](#page-10-0) compound, a key precursor to balanol analogs.^{1−4} We thus first scrutinized the reaction of formylsubstituted tertiary enamide 1a. As expected, tertiary enamide 1a was s[ta](#page-10-0)ble under ambient conditions. No reaction was observed either in refluxing DCM. The cyclization reaction took place slowly when a Brønsted acid such as TfOH (30 mol %) was added. Surprisingly, instead of the addition product 2a, a dehydration compound 3a was obtained as the sole product in 24% yield. A number of Lewis acids were then screened as catalysts (30 mol %) in order to improve the conversion of reactant, the selective formation of adduct 2a or dehydration product 3a, and the chemical yield of product. As listed in Table 1, Lewis acids including iron, nickel, copper, zinc, and indium salts were either catalytically inactive or detrimental to reaction leading to no product or an inseparable mixture, respectively (entries 4−11, Table 1). It should be noted that the use of $FeCl₃$ as a catalyst resulted in the formation of a ketone product 4a (24% yield) in addition to 3a (18% yield). The former compound is most probably derived from the hydrolysis of the cyclic iminium intermediate that was generated directly from enaminic addition of tertiary enamide to aldehyde functional group (vide infra). While $AICI₃$ and $Et₂AICl$ were effective to catalyze the reaction (entries 12 and 13), $BCI₃$ (entry 14, Table 1) and especially $BBr₃$ appeared to be more efficient catalysts. For example, with the loading of $BBr₃$ decreasing from 30 to 3 mol % or even 1 mol %, the reaction proceeded rapidly to form 3a in moderate yields. Notably, solvent played a crucial role in this BBr₃-catalyzed cyclization reaction (entries 17 and 19−21, Table 1). For instance, reaction was accelerated in acetonitrile giving a good yield of 3a in 0.5 h, whereas the reaction was completely inhibited in THF. It should be noted that in all cases using different Lewis acid catalysts, no 5-hydroxy-2,3,4,5-tetrahydro-1H-azepine 2a was obtained. The outcomes were completely different from the synthesis of 4-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine derivatives from analogous intramolecular reaction of tertiary enamides with aldehyde in which no dehydration reaction occurrred.^{17c} To account for the difference, we

Table 1. Development of Lewis Acid-Catalyzed Cyclization Reaction of 1^a

| O | | HO | | HO | | |
|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | conditions rt | Ph | $-H2O$ Ph റ് | | O HN Ph | |
| Ph 1a | | Ωŕ Ph 2a | | Ph 3a | 4a | |
| | | | | | | |
| entry | catalyst $(mod \%)$ | additive $\left($ equiv $\right)$ | solvent | t(h) | 3a $(\%)^b$ | |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | | | DCM | 96 | $n.r.^c$ | |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | TfOH(30) | | DCM | 96 | 24 | |
| 3 | $FeCl3$ (30) | | DCM | 10 | $18 + 4a(24)$ | |
| $\overline{4}$ | $Fe(OTf)_{3} (30)$ | | DCM | 96 | \mathcal{A} | |
| 5 | $NiCl2$ (30) | | DCM | 96 | $n.r.^c$ | |
| 6 | Ni(OTf) ₂ (30) | | DCM | 96 | $n.r^c$ | |
| 7 | $CuClO4$ (30) | | DCM | 16 | 16 | |
| 8 | CuOTf(30) | | DCM | 96 | $n.r^c$ | |
| 9 | ZnCl ₂ (30) | | DCM | 96 | $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ | |
| 10 | $Zn(OTf)_{2}$ (30) | | DCM | 96 | $n.r.^c$ | |
| 11 | $In(OTf)_{3}(30)$ | | DCM | 96 | $n.r^c$ | |
| 12 | $AlCl3$ (30) | | DCM | 96 | 66 | |
| 13 | Et ₂ AICI(30) | | DCM | 96 | 41 | |
| 14 | $BCl3$ (30) | | DCM | 16 | 52 | |
| 15 | $BBr_3(30)$ | | DCM | 1 | 68 | |
| 16 | $BBr_3(5)$ | | DCM | 1 | 52 | |
| 17 | $BBr_3(3)$ | | DCM | 1 | 57 | |
| 18 | BBr ₃ (1) | | DCM | $\mathbf{1}$ | 49 | |
| 19 | $BBr_3(3)$ | | toluene | 45 | 47 | |
| 20 | $BBr_3(3)$ | | THF | 45 | $n.r^c$ | |
| 21 | $BBr_3(3)$ | | CH ₃ CN | 0.5 | 74 | |
| 22 | $BBr_3(3)$ | MgSO ₄ (30) | CH ₃ CN | 3.5 | 63 | |
| 23 | $BBr_3(3)$ | SiO ₂ (30) | CH ₃ CN | 0.5 | 78 | |
| 24 | $BBr_3(3)$ | CaCl ₂ (30) | CH ₃ CN | 3.5 | 82 | |
| 25 | BBr ₃ (3) | $P_2O_5(30)$ | CH ₃ CN | 0.5 | 88 | |
| 26 | $BBr_3(3)$ | $P_2O_5(10)$ | CH ₃ CN | $\,1$ | 83 | |
| 27 | $BBr_3(3)$ | $P_2O_5(20)$ | DCM | $\mathbf{1}$ | 93 | |
| a . | ϵ . | -1 $\sqrt{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | T. | п 11 | |

^aA mixture of tertiary enamide 1a (0.3 mmol), catalyst, and additive was stirred in dry solvent (15 mL) under argon protection. ^bIsolated was started in any servert (10 may) affect alger procedure.

proposed that intermediate 2a, due to conformational flexibility of seven-membered N-heterocycles, would adopt a conformational structure that favors considerably the dehydration reaction to afford a thermodynamically more stable conjugated diene product 3a. Since the formation of 3a involved a dehydration step, the released water may deactivate the Lewis acid catalyst causing incomplete conversion of the substrate. To circumvent this problem, drying agents were examined (entries 22−26, Table 1). Besides, the use of drying agents may also be beneficial to dehydration reaction. Pleasingly, the presence of a drying agent including $CaCl₂$ and $P₂O₅$ did improve the chemical yield in general. Finally, we found that a combination of BBr_3 (3 mol %) and P_2O_5 (20 equiv) in anhydrous DCM at ambient temperature worked optimally for the transformation of tertiary enamide 1a into 1-benzoyl-7-phenyl- 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine 3a (entry 27, Table 1).

To test the scope of BBr₃-catalyzed intramolecular condensation between tertiary enamides and aldehyde, a number of substrates were prepared from ozonolysis^{19a} of the terminal olefin moiety of tertiary enamides S1 that were synthesized according to a literature method 20,21 ([Figu](#page-10-0)re 2). The results compiled in Table 2 show clearly that almost all

Figure 2. Preparation of substrates 1.

substrates tested underwent a cyclization reaction to furnish 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine products. Various functional groups were tolerated by the catalytic system. For example, all N-aroylsubstituted tertiary enamides 1a−g, irrespective of the electronic nature and the substitution pattern of a substituent on benzene ring, were transformed efficiently into the corresponding products 3a−g in excellent yields (entries 1− 7, Table 2). N-Benzyloxycarbonyl- and N-ethoxycarbonylbearing tertiary enamide substrates 1h and 1i underwent equally efficient reaction, while the reaction of N-acetylcontaining reactant 1j proceeded sluggishly (entries 8−10, Table 2). In these cases, slightly diminished chemical yields were obtained due to the formation of a polar and unidentified mixture as byproducts. It is worth noting that the slow reaction rate of 1j reflected low nucleophilicity of N-acetyl-substituted tertiary enamide. Different reaction velocity of tertiary enamides is indicative of the tuning effect of N-electronwithdrawing group on the nucleophilic activity of enamides. On the other hand, enamides having an aryl substituent on the α position behaved as excellent substrates, and all reactants 1k−p underwent similarly rapid cyclization reaction to produce high yield of products 3k−p (entries 11−16, Table 2). The catalytic reaction was applicable to tertiary enamide that contains an α heterocyclic substituent as well. 1-Benzoyl-7-(thiophen-2-yl)- 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine 3q was thus prepared readily in 93% yield (entry 17, Table 2).

The generality of the catalytic reaction was further demonstrated in the synthesis of 1-benzoyl-6-methyl-7 phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine 3r and 7,8-dihydro-5H-azepino[2,1-a]isoindol-5-one 3s. In comparison to its disubstituted tertiary enamide analog 1a, the trisubstituted tertiary enamide 1r is less reactive. Nevertheless, in refluxing DCM it was converted smoothly into product 3s in 12 h. In the case of isoindolin-1-one-derivred enamide 1s, BBr₃-catalyzed cyclization under very mild conditions provided efficiently a straightforward route to fused heterocyclic ring product 3s, a compound that resembles the core structure of naturally occurring lennoxamine (Figure 3).

Following the successful synthesis of various 2,3-dihydro-1Hazepine derivatives 3, we then attempted the construction of 1H-azepin-2(3H)-one structure by means of BBr_3 -catalyzed cyclic condensation reaction of tertiary enamides 5 (Table 3). The reactants 5 were readily obtained following the reported procedure^{19a,20,21} depicted in Figure 4. The shif[t of th](#page-3-0)e carbonyl moiety of enamide from exo- to endo-position leads to margi[nal var](#page-10-0)iation of nucl[eophilic](#page-3-0) reactivity. Enaminic addition of enamides to aldehyde proceeded equally well with tertiary enamides to form intermediates 6. Although intermediates 6 were not stable and they underwent spontaneous dehydration reaction to form conjugated diene products 7, in some cases the consequent dehydration reaction of 6 appeared slower than that of 2. For example, the intermediate 2 was never isolated from the reaction of 1. In contrast, under the identical catalytic conditions, the intra-

Table 2. Catalytic Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydro-1H-azepine Derivatives 3a−q

| | | O Ar `R Oʻ $1a-q$ | BBr ₃ (3 mol %), P_2O_5 (20 equiv) anhydrous DCM, rt, 1 h | | Ar `R Oʻ $3a-q$ | | |
|----------------|----|---------------------------------------|---|-----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------|
| entry | Ar | \mathbb{R} | 3 $(\%)^a$ | entry | Ar | ${\bf R}$ | 3 $(\%)^a$ |
| 1^b | Ph | Ph | 3a(93) | 10 ^c | Ph | Me | 3j(74) |
| $\mathbf{2}$ | Ph | 4 -MeOC ₆ H ₄ | 3b(92) | 11 | $4-MeOC6H4$ | Ph | 3k(92) |
| 3 | Ph | 3,4- $(MeO)_2C_6H_3$ | 3c (95) | 12 | 4 -Me C_6H_4 | Ph | 31(82) |
| $\overline{4}$ | Ph | $4-O_2NC_6H_4$ | 3d (96) | 13 | 4 -FC ₆ H ₄ | Ph | 3m(82) |
| 5 | Ph | 4 -ClC ₆ H ₄ | 3e (89) | 14 | $4-CIC6H4$ | Ph | 3n(94) |
| 6 | Ph | $4-BrC_6H_4$ | 3f(90) | 15 | $4-BrC_6H_4$ | Ph | 3o(91) |
| 7 | Ph | $2-BrC_6H_4$ | 3g (95) | 16 ^d | $2-BrC_6H_4$ | Ph | 3p(94) |
| 8 | Ph | BnO | 3h(79) | 17 | 2-thienyl | Ph | 3q(93) |
| 9 | Ph | EtO | 3i(71) | | | | |

^aIsolated yield. ^b3a (1.25 g, 91%) was obtained from a gram-scale reaction of 1a (1.49 g, 5 mmol). ^cReaction time was 20 h. ^d3p (1.19 g, 93%) was obtained from a gram-scale reaction of 1p (1.35 g, 3.63 mmol).

Table 3. Synthesis of 1H-Azepin-2(3H)-one Derivatives 7a−f

a Isolated yield.

Figure 4. Preparation of substrates 5.

molecular adduct 6b was obtained in 44% yield along with the formation 7b in 49% yield when the reaction was quenched within 20 min (cf. Experimental Section). Elongated reaction time (3−5 h) led to the production of 7 as the sole products in good yields (entries 1−3, [Table 3\).](#page-5-0) Intriguingly, tertiary enamides 5e and 5f underwent very efficient nucleophilic addition and the consecutive dehydration reaction, furnishing the corresponding products 7e and 7f in excellent yields.

Structures of all 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and 1H-azepin-2(3H)-one products 3 and 7 were established on the basis of their spectroscopic data. To put the structures beyond any

ambiguity, high-quality single crystals of 3a and 7b were obtained from recrystallization, and their X-ray molecular structures were determined (Figures 5 and 6). The variable-

Figure 6. X-ray molecular structure of 7b. The molecular structure is depicted in an ellipsoid style at 50% probability level.

temperature ¹H NMR spectra of these seven-membered nitrogenous heterocycles are especially worth addressing. As illustrated in Figures 7 and 8, either compounds 3 and 7 did not give a complete set of proton signals below 60 °C. For instance, one of the m[ethylenes](#page-4-0) wit[hin](#page-4-0) heterocycle of 3a (H-6) exhibited a broad peak at ca. 2.7 ppm, while the other (H-7) showed no proton signal (Figure 7). In the case of 7b, the methylene within the ring (H-3) gave a very broad peak at ca. 3.0 ppm. No resonance sign[als corres](#page-4-0)ponding to the methylene of benzyl group (H-8) were observed (Figure 8). The ¹H NMR spectra measured at the temperature lower than 60 °C indicated the existence of a mixture of conf[ormers w](#page-4-0)hich undergo very slow

Figure 5. X-ray molecular structure of 3a. The molecular structure is depicted in an ellipsoid style at 50% probability level.

Figure 7. Variable-temperature $^1\rm H$ NMR spectra of 3a. The signals at ca. 2.5 are protons of DMSO.

Figure 8. Variable-temperature ¹H NMR spectra of 7b. The signals at ca. 2.5 are protons of DMSO.

interconversions relative to $^1{\rm H}$ NMR time scale due to the rigidity of partially saturated medium-sized heterocycles. Upon heating to 150 °C in DMSO- d_6 , the broadened and unobservable proton signals emerged, and all peaks became well-resolved because of the acceleration of interconversion of various conformers (Figures 7 and 8).

The outcomes of the BBr₃-catalyzed cyclization of tertiary enamides 1 or 5 suggest a nucleophilic addition of enamides to aldehyde, deprotonation, and dehydration cascade as delineated in Figure 9. It is important to address that the use of a Lewis acid catalyst with a single coordination site is imperative. The enamide substrates 1 and 5 can actually act as a bidentate or tridentate ligand to interact with transition metals. Competitive formation of stable chelating complexes with Lewis acids would therefore inhibit the designed enaminic reaction. It is also

Figure 9. Plausible reaction mechanism.

interesting to note that due to some subtle conformational differences between intermediates 2 and 6, the former undergo

^aThe molecular structure of 8 is depicted in an ellipsoid style at 50% probability level.

very fast dehydration reaction to afford directly the intramolecular condensation products 3. In the case of the later intermediate, however, dehydration reaction proceeds relatively slowly, allowing the isolation and characterization of 6b. The heterocyclic iminium intermediate B or B′ is also susceptible to nucleophilic agents because of its high electrophilic nature. The interception of B by water prior to deprotonation step results in the generation of ketone compound 4a.

The catalytic construction of 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and $1H$ -azepin- $2(3H)$ -one structures from tertiary enamides is efficient and practically useful. The method can be easily scaled up to a gram scale. In the gram-scale synthesis, we were delighted to find out that more than 1 g of the product 3a (1.25 g, 91%) or 3p (1.19 g, 93%) was prepared in 1 h starting from tertiary enamides 1a (5 mmol) or 1p (3.63 mmol) (entries 1 and 10, Table 2). The acquired 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and $1H$ -azepin- $2(3H)$ -one derivatives are valuable in organic synthesis [because](#page-2-0) they contain multiple transformable functional groups. Just to demonstrate their synthetic applications, we conducted the intramolecular Heck reaction of 3g following a well-established procedure. 22 Interestingly, despite several possible cross-coupling reaction pathways, compound 3g underwent intramolecular co[up](#page-10-0)ling reaction between bromobenzene moiety and the α -carbon of tertiary enamide with concomitant shift of diene bonds to generate 11a-phenyl-7,11adihydro-5H-azepino[2,1-a]isoindol-5-one 8 (Scheme 1), a fused heterocyclic compound that is hard to prepare by other means.

■ CONCLUSION

In summary, we have established a new synthetic strategy to construct 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and 1H-azepin-2(3H)-one heterocyclic rings. Under very mild conditions employing BBr₃ as a Lewis acid catalyst and P_2O_5 as an additive, tertiary enamides underwent efficient and scalable intramolecular cyclic condensation to afford various 2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine and 1Hazepin-2(3H)-one derivatives in high yields. The reaction proceeds through a cascade involving nucleophilic addition of enamides to aldehyde, deprotonation, and dehydration. This study along with our previous ones has demonstrated that tertiary enamides are powerful and versatile synthons in organic synthesis. Successful exploration of the reactions of stable tertiary enamides has provided unique and convenient routes to a variety of diverse five- to eight-membered heterocyclic compounds that are difficult to prepare using other means. The tandem reactions of tertiary enamides in the synthesis of complex alkaloids and analogs are being actively pursued in this laboratory, and the results will be reported in due course.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Preparation of $1.^{19a,20,21}$ Step 1. The synthesis of secondary enamides was carried out following a literature method.²⁰ Under N₂ protection, methylmagnesium bromide (20 mL, 3.0 M in ether, 60 mmol) was added to nitrile (60 mmol). After refluxing f[or](#page-10-0) 6 h, the mixture was cooled down to room temperature, and ether (60 mL) was added. After cooling down to 0 °C, acyl chloride (60 mmol) was added dropwise. The resulting mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was mixed with ethanol (60 mL) and refluxed for another 1 h. Solvent was removed in vacuo, and the residue was mixed with water (100 mL) and EtOAc (100 mL) and then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 60 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was washed with water (30 mL), brine (30 mL), and dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to afford products SS1.

Step 2. Alkylation of secondary enamides was conducted following a reported procedure.²¹ To a solution of $SS1$ (10 mmol) in DMF (50 mL) at 0 °C under argon protection was added NaH (600 mg, 60% in mineral oil, 15 mm[ol\)](#page-10-0). After being stirred for 0.5 h, a solution of 5 bromopent-1-ene (12 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added dropwise, and the resulting mixture was kept stirring for another 5 h. The mixture was poured into ice-cold water (60 mL) and EtOAc (40 mL) and extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was washed with water $(5 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, brine (30 mL) , and dried with anhydrous MgSO4. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed on a silica gel column to give products S1.

Step 3. Oxidation of alkene into aldehyde was realized using a known method.19a To a mixture of S1 (6 mmol), 1,4-dioxane (40 mL) and water (20 mL) was added an aqueous solution of Nmethylmorphol[ine](#page-10-0)-N-oxide (4.0 mL, 50% w/w) and $OsO₄$ (0.5 mL, 1% in water). After the mixture was stirred for 12 h, NaIO_4 (2.56 g, 12) mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was kept stirring at room temperature for 1 h before quenching with a saturated thiosulfate solution. The mixture was then extracted with EtOAc $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic phase was washed with saturated thiosulfate solution $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, brine (30 mL) , dried with anhydrous MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo, and the residue was purified by flash silica gel chromatography to afford the product. All substrates 1 were characterized by means of spectroscopic data.

N-(4-Oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1a. (1.3 g, 68% yield): white solid, mp 92−93 °C; $R_f = 0.23$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.50−7.47 (m, 4H), 7.41−7.36 (m, 3H), 7.32−7.26 (m, 1H), 7.23− 7.20 (m, 1H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 4.82 (s, 1H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 2.52 (t, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H), 2.02-1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.5, 171.4, 147.1, 135.9, 135.8, 130.0, 129.0, 128.9, 127.8, 127.6, 126.3, 114.1, 46.2, 41.1, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1717, 1636. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_2$ $(M + Na)^+$: 316.1308. Found: 316.1311.

4-Methoxy-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1b. (1.16 g, 64% yield from 5.6 mmol of S1b): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.14$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl³3)</sub> δ 9.76 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.48 (m, 4H), 7.40−7.38 (m, 3H), 6.73− 6.71 (m, 2H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.81 (s, 1H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 2H), 2.50 (t, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 2.00–1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.6, 171.0, 160.9, 147.5, 135.9, 129.8, 129.1, 128.9, 127.8, 126.3, 113.8, 113.0, 55.2, 46.3, 41.2, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1721, 1638, 1607. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3 (M + Na)^+$: 346.1414. Found: 346.1408.

3,4-Dimethoxy-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1c. (380 mg, 47% yield from 2.28 mmol of S1c): white solid, mp 86− 87 °C; $R_f = 0.25$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.34 (m, 3H), 7.14 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.68 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.42 $(s, 1H)$, 4.85 $(s, 1H)$, 3.82 $(s, 3H)$, 3.65 $(s, 3H)$, 3.63 $(br, 2H)$, 2.51 $(t, 1H)$ $J = 7.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.03–1.96 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.5, 171.0, 150.5, 148.0, 147.4, 135.8, 129.0, 128.9, 127.9, 126.2, 121.1, 113.7, 111.3, 109.8, 55.8, 55.6, 46.5, 41.2, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1720, 1633. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{21}H_{23}NO_4 (M + Na)^+$: 376.1519. Found: 376.1515.

4-Nitro-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1d. (436 mg, 58% yield from 2.22 mmol of S 1d): yellow solid, mp 89–90 °C; R_f = 0.12 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 8.04 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.42−7.36 (m, 5H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 3.67 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.53 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.01–1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.1, 169.1, 148.2, 146.5, 141.9, 135.1, 129.4, 129.0, 128.3, 126.0, 123.0, 114.6, 46.4, 41.0, 19.8; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1721, 1644, 1602, 1519. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}N_2O_4$ (M + Na)+ : 361.1159. Found: 361.1150.

4-Chloro-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1e. (1.12 g, 66% yield from 5.2 mmol of S1e): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.12$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.36 (m, 7H), 7.19−7.17 (m, 2H), 5.41 (s, 1H), 4.83 (s, 1H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 2.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.3, 170.3, 147.0, 136.0, 135.5, 134.1, 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.0, 126.2, 114.2, 46.4, 41.1, 19.9; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1723, 1643, 1596. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2Cl (M + Na)^+$: 350.0918. Found: 350.0917.

4-Bromo-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1f. (410 mg, 68% yield from 1.64 mmol of **S** 1f): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.2$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.49−7.45 (m, 2H), 7.41−7.39 (m, 3H), 7.36 (s, 4H), 5.42 (s, 1H), 4.83 (s, 1H), 3.64 (s, 2H), 2.51 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.99−1.95 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.4, 170.4, 147.0, 135.5, 134.7, 131.1, 129.3, 129.0, 126.3, 124.5, 114.4, 46.4, 41.2, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1722, 1641, 1399. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}BrNO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 394.0413. Found: 394.0412.

2-Bromo-N-(4-oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)benzamide 1g. (1.78 g, 68% yield from 7.0 mmol of S1g): white solid, mp 84–85 °C; R_f $= 0.19$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether $= 1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 60 °C) δ 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (s, 5H), 7.02 (s, 3H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 3.72 (s, 2H), 2.57 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.02 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.3, 169.0, 145.5, 138.3, 135.3, 132.5, 129.8, 128.9, 128.6, 126.7, 126.3, 125.8, 120.3, 113.3, 45.2, 40.8, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1720, 1644, 1627, 1402. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NBrO_2$ $(M + Na)^+$: 394.0413. Found: 394.0405.

Benzyl (4-Oxobutyl)(1-phenylvinyl)carbamate 1h. (660 mg, 44% yield from 4.6 mmol of S1h): white solid, mp 95−96 °C; $R_f = 0.67$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 60 °C) δ 9.72 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 5H), 7.24 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 3H), 7.11 (s, 2H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 5.08 (s, 2H), 3.52 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.45 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 1.96−1.92 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.1, 155.4, 145.7, 136.6, 136.1, 135.8, 128.3, 127.6, 127.3, 125.5, 111.8, 67.0, 47.9, 40.6, 20.7; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1703, 1629. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ $(M + Na)^+$: 346.1414. Found: 346.1412.

Ethyl (4-Oxobutyl)(1-phenylvinyl)carbamate 1i. (231 mg, 77% yield from 1.15 mmol of S1i): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.67$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃, 60 °C) δ 9.77 $(d, J = 1.36$ Hz, 1H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 5H), 5.54 (s, 1H), 5.18 (s, 1H), 4.09 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.51 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.50–2.46 (m, 2H), 1.99−1.92 (m, 2H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.2, 155.6, 145.8, 136.7, 128.3, 125.5, 111.6, 61.3, 47.6, 40.7, 20.7, 14.1; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1701, 1629. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}NO_3 (M + Na)^+$: 322.1414. Found: 322.1411.

N-(4-Oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylvinyl)acetamide 1j. (1.54g, 61% yield from 10.9 mmol of S1j): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.26$ (EtOAc/petroleum

ether = $1/1$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.68 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.34−7.32 (m, 5H), 5.72 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 3.40 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.41 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.85−1.80 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.3, 170.7, 146.6, 134.9, 129.1, 128.8, 125.6, 113.8, 45.0, 40.9, 21.8, 20.2; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1723, 1658, 1630, 1396. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 254.1152; Found: 254.1147.

N-(1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)vinyl)-N-(4-oxobutyl)benzamide 1k. (1.09 g, 71% yield from 4.76 mmol of S1k): white solid, mp 95−96 °C; R_f = 0.11 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) 9.77 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.43–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.31−7.22 (m, 3H), 6.94−6.91 (m, 2H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 4.72 (s, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.06−1.96 $(m, 2H)$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.6, 171.4, 160.3, 146.6, 135.9, 129.9, 128.1, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 114.2, 112.5, 55.3, 46.1, 41.2, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1723, 1638, 1605. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ $(M + Na)^+$: 346.1414. Found: 346.1405.

N-(4-Oxobutyl)-N-(1-(p-tolyl)vinyl)benzamide 1l. (1.19 g, 64.5% yield from 6.0 mmol of S11): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.19$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.49 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29−7.26 $(m, 1H)$, 7.21 $(t, J = 7.3$ Hz, 4H), 5.34 $(s, 1H)$, 4.75 $(s, 1H)$, 3.63 $(s,$ 2H), 2.50 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 1.98−1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.5, 171.4, 146.8, 139.2, 135.8, 132.8, 129.9, 129.6, 127.7, 127.5, 126.2, 113.5, 46.0, 41.1, 21.2, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1722, 1642, 1395. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 330.1465. Found: 330.1459.

N-(1-(4-Fluorophenyl)vinyl)-N-(4-oxobutyl)benzamide 1m. (390 mg, 65% yield from 1.94 mmol of S1m): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.11$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/4$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCI³₃) δ 9.76 $(s, 1H)$, 7.47−7.41 (m, 4H), 7.32−7.28 (m, 1H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.06 (t, $J = 8.7$ Hz, 2H), 5.32 (s, 1H), 4.84 (s, 1H), 3.65 (t, $J =$ 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.02−1.95 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.3, 171.3, 164.2, 161.7, 146.2, 135.7, 132.0, 132.0, 129.9, 128.0, 128.0, 127.7, 127.4, 115.9, 115.6, 113.5, 46.3, 41.0, 20.0; ¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −111.9; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1722, 1644, 1601, 1507, 1391. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NO₂F$ (M + Na)⁺: 334.1214. Found: 334.1207.

N-(1-(4-Chlorophenyl)vinyl)-N-(4-oxobutyl)benzamide 1n. (994 mg, 62% yield from 4.9 mmol of S1n): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.2$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.39−7.28 (m, 5H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 3.63 (t, $J = 6.8$ Hz, 2H), 2.52 (t, $J = 7.4$, 2H), 2.01−1.93 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 201.3, 171.4, 146.3, 135.7, 135.0, 134.5, 130.1, 129.1, 127.9, 127.5, 127.5, 114.3, 46.4, 41.1, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1722, 1645, 1392. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2Cl (M + Na)^+$: 350.0918. Found: 350.0912.

N-(1-(4-Bromophenyl)vinyl)-N-(4-oxobutyl)benzamide 1o. (944 mg,72.5% yield from 3.5 mmol of S1o): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.29$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/2$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl³₃) δ 9.77 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.52−7.49 (m, 2H), 7.45−7.43 (m, 2H), 7.34− 7.29 (m, 3H), 7.24−7.21 (m, 2H), 5.39 (s, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 3.63 (s, 2H), 2.52 (td, J = 7.3, 1.36 Hz, 2H), 2.01–1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 201.3, 171.4, 146.3, 135.6, 134.9, 132.0, 130.1, 127.9, 127.8, 127.5, 123.2, 114.4, 46.4, 41.1, 20.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1721, 1644, 1391. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}BrNO_2$ (M + Na)⁺ : 394.0413. Found: 394.0405.

N-(1-(2-Bromophenyl)vinyl)-N-(4-oxobutyl)benzamide 1p. (1.39 g, 63% yield from 5.94 mmol of **S** 1p): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.26$ $\text{(EtOAc/petroleum ether} = 1/3);$ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.80 $(s, 1H)$, 7.45 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 7.27– 7.24 (m, 1H), 7.21−7.17 (m, 2H), 7.12−7.00 (m, 3H), 5.26 (s, 1H), 5.16 (s, 1H), 3.76 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.57 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.14– 2.07 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.5, 171.0, 147.2, 138.0, 136.7, 133.7, 131.0, 129.8, 129.4, 127.9, 127.4, 127.2, 121.5, 113.6, 49.4, 41.3, 21.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1722, 1650, 1615, 1386. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2Br (M + Na)^+$: 394.0413. Found: 394.0408.

N-(4-Oxobutyl)-N-(1-(thiophen-2-yl)vinyl)benzamide 1q. (1.21 g, 62% yield from 6.5 mmol of S1q): yellow solid, mp 109−110 °C; R_f = 0.19 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (s, 1H), 7.50−7.48 (m, 2H), 7.31−7.28 (m, 1H), 7.26−7.20 (m, 3H), 7.12−7.11 (m, 1H), 7.00−6.98 (m, 1H), 5.33 (s, 1H), 4.75 (s, 1H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 2.52 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.03−1.97 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.4, 171.1, 141.6, 140.9, 135.7, 130.0, 127.8, 127.7, 127.4, 126.4, 125.8, 113.6, 46.6, 41.1, 20.2; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1718, 1634. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for C₁₇H₁₇NO₂S (M + Na)+ : 322.0872. Found: 322.0865.

(E)-N-(4-Oxobutyl)-N-(1-phenylprop-1-en-1-yl)benzamide 1r. (277 mg, 60% yield from 1.5 mmol of S1r): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.19$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_{3,} 60 °C) δ 9.74 (s, 1H), 7.56–7.54 (m, 2H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.41– 7.37 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 5.85 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 4.12−4.04 (m, 1H), 3.11−3.04 (m, 1H), 2.49−2.37 (m, 2H), 2.08−2.02 (m, 1H), 1.92−1.84 (m, 1H), 1.45 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.4, 171.0, 140.2, 136.5, 135.7, 130.2, 128.9, 128.3, 127.5, 126.0, 122.8, 46.5, 41.5, 19.9, 14.5; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1722, 1637, 1446, 1399. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_2$ $(M + Na)^+$: 330.1465. Found: 330.1459.

4-(1-Methylene-3-oxoisoindolin-2-yl)butanal 1s. (746 mg, 53% yield from 6.5 mmol of **S** 1s): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.16$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.76 (d, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 5.20 (d, $J = 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.93 $(d, J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 3.79 \text{ (t, } J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 2.54 \text{ (t, } J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}),$ 2.03−1.94 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.2, 167.1, 141.4, 136.1, 131.9, 129.4, 129.0, 122.9, 119.8, 89.0, 40.8, 38.2, 20.5; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1705, 1644, 1395. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 238.0839. Found: 238.0838.

Preparation of Substrates 5. The aformentioned procedures for the synthesis of substrates 1 were followed for the preparation of $5^{19a,20,21}$

N-Benzyl-4-oxo-N-(1-phenylvinyl)butanamide 5a. (840 mg, 70% y[ield from](#page-10-0) 4.1 mmol of S5a): yellow solid, mp 48–49 °C; $R_f = 0.59$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/1$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.83 (s, 1H), 7.48−7.47 (m, 2H), 7.44−7.38 (m, 3H), 7.28−7.25 (m, 5H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 4.96 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 2.81 (t, $J = 6.3$ Hz, 2H), 2.68 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.9, 171.5, 145.7, 137.4, 135.1, 129.2, 128.9, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 125.9, 115.0, 50.1, 39.0, 26.5; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1708, 1646, 1402. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}NO_2$ $(M + Na)^+$: 316.1308. Found: 316.1308.

N-(4-Bromobenzyl)-4-oxo-N-(1-phenylvinyl)butanamide 5b. (940 mg, 65% yield from 3.86 mmol of S5b): yellow solid, mp 85−86 °C; R_f $= 0.59$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether $= 1/1$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.82 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46–7.38 (m, 7H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 4.94 (s, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 2.81 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.8, 171.5, 145.5, 136.3, 134.8, 131.3, 130.6, 129.2, 128.9, 125.8, 121.2, 115.0, 49.3, 38.9, 26.3; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1710, 1654, 1630, 1423. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}NO_2$ Br $(M + Na)^+$: 394.0413. Found: 394.0405.

N-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-4-oxo-N-(1-phenylvinyl)butanamide 5c. (780 mg, 78% yield from 3.1 mmol of S5c): white solid, mp 80−81 °C; R_f = 0.19 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.83 (s, 1H), 7.46–7.39 (m, 5H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.80 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 4.92 (s, 1H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 3.78 (d, J = 0.9 Hz, 3H), 2.80 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.65 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.0, 171.3, 158.9, 145.7, 135.3, 130.3, 130.0, 129.2, 129.0, 125.9, 114.9, 113.6, 55.2, 49.5, 39.1, 26.6; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1709, 1651. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{21}NO_3$ (M + Na)⁺: 346.1414. Found: 346.1413.

4-((1-(4-Methoxyphenyl)vinyl)(methyl)amino)butanal 5d. (669 mg, 69% yield from 4.28 mmol of S 5d): white solid, mp 74−75 °C; R_f = 0.11 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.58 (s, 1H), 7.21 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.44 (s, 1H), 4.99 (s, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 3H), 2.54 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.5, 170.9, 159.9, 147.2, 127.1, 126.6, 113.8, 110.2, 54.7, 38.4, 34.9,

25.8; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1709, 1648. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}NO_3$ $(M + Na)^+$: 270.1101. Found: 270.1098.

N-Methyl-4-oxo-N-(1-phenylvinyl)butanamide 5e. (734 mg, 68% yield from 5.0 mmol of S5e): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.19$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = $1/2$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.71 (s, 1H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H),7.35−7.29 (m, 3H), 5.68 (s, 1H), 5.24 (s, 1H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.68 (t, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.54 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H); 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.8, 171.3, 147.9, 134.9, 129.0, 128.7, 125.4, 112.6, 38.8, 35.3, 26.1; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1718, 1658, 1387. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{13}H_{15}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 240.0995. Found: 240.0993.

4-((1-(4-Chlorophenyl)vinyl) (methyl)amino)butanal 5f. (313 mg, 73% yield from 1.8 mmol of **S** 5f): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.11$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl³₃) δ 9.69 (s, 1H), 7.32 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (s, 1H), 5.23 (s, 1H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 2.68 (t, J = 5.1, 2H), 2.50 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.7, 171.2, 146.9, 134.8, 133.5, 128.9, 126.9, 113.2, 38.7, 35.2, 26.1; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1715, 1633. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}NO_2Cl (M + Na)^2$: 274.0605. Found: 274.0602.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 3 and 7. Under argon protection, a solution of BBr_3 (9 μ L, 3 mol %, 1.0 M in DCM) was added to a mixture of tertiary enamides 1 or 5 (0.30 mmol) and P_2O_5 (85 mg, 6 mmol) in DCM (15 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for a period of time (see Tables 2 and 3 and Figure 3). The reaction was quenched by adding a saturated $NAHCO₃$ aqueous solution (10 mL). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$. The combined organic extra[cts](#page-2-0) [were](#page-2-0) [w](#page-2-0)ashe[d](#page-3-0) with [brine](#page-2-0) [\(20](#page-2-0) mL), dried over anhydrous Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated under vacuum to give a crude mixture. Silica gel column chromatography (200−300 mesh) eluted with a mixture of ethyl acetate and petroleum (1:20 to 1:3) afforded pure product 3 or 7. All products were fully characterized, and their characterization data are listed below.

Phenyl(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)methanone 3a. (77.1 mg, 93% yield): white solid, mp 99−100 °C; $R_f = 0.3$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/5$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.24−7.10 (m, 10H), 6.22−6.14 (m, 2H), 5.98 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (s, 2H), 2.73 (dd, J = 8.2, 5.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.4, 145.2, 139.5, 137.9, 135.4, 130.7, 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 126.5, 124.0, 116.4, 46.3, 33.4; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1643, 1629. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}NO (M + H)⁺$: 276.1383. Found: 276.1387. A high-quality single crystal was obtained from recrystallization from a mixture of n-hexane and ethyl acetate.

(4-Methoxyphenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) methanone 3b. (84 mg, 92% yield): white solid, mp 139−140 °C; R_f $= 0.5$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether $= 1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.20–7.12 (m, 7H), 6.70 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.17 (d, J $= 5.0$ Hz, 2H), 5.97 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.71 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.0, 161.3, 145.5, 139.5, 135.4, 130.1, 129.1, 128.6, 126.3, 124.1, 116.1, 113.8, 56.0, 46.5, 33.7; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1648, 1265. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 328.1308. Found: 328.1303.

(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) methanone 3c. (96 mg, 95% yield): white solid, mp 92–93 °C, R_f = 0.19 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/3$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.18−7.12 (m, 5H), 6.84−6.73 (m, 3H), 6.19−6.16 (m, 2H), 5.97 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 2.71 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.1, 151.0, 148.5, 145.7, 139.5, 135.4, 130.2, 129.1, 128.6, 126.4, 124.0, 121.7, 115.9, 112.0, 111.3, 56.3, 56.2, 46.5, 33.7; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1651, 1626. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{21}H_{21}NO_3 (M + Na)^+$: 358.1414. Found: 358.1407.

(4-Nitrophenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)methanone **3d.** (97 mg, 96% yield): yellow solid, mp 93–94 °C; R_f = 0.41 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/4$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 $^{\circ}$ C) δ 7.95 (d, J = 8.68 Hz, 2H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.72 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (s, 5H), 6.26−6.16 (m, 2H), 6.05 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.04 (s, 2H), 2.78 $(d, J = 4.1 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 167.7, 148.4, 144.1, 143.9, 139.3, 135.9, 129.3, 129.2, 128.7, 126.7, 123.8, 123.8,

117.5, 46.4, 33.2; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1652, 1521, 1352. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}N_2O_3$ $(M + H)^+$: 321.1234. Found: 321.1228.

(4-Chlorophenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) methanone 3e. (82 mg, 88% yield): white solid, mp 142−143 °C; R_f = 0. 45 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/5$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.19–7.16 (m, J = 4.1 Hz, 9H), 6.23–6.16 (m, 2H), 6.01 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 2.73 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.3, 144.8, 139.3, 136.7, 135.7, 135.4, 129.8, 129.2, 128.7, 128.6, 126.5, 123.9, 116.8, 46.4, 33.4; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1652, 1384. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for C₁₉H₁₆ClNO (M + H)+ : 310.0993. Found: 310.0993.

(4-Bromophenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) methanone 3f. (96 mg, 90% yield): white solid, mp 139−140 °C; R_f = 0.35 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/4$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.33 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.20−7.17 (m, 5H), 7.09 $(dd, J = 8.7, 1.8$ Hz, 2H), 6.23–6.13 (m, 2H), 6.01 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 2.73 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO d_{6} , 150 °C) δ 168.4, 144.8, 139.3, 137.0, 135.7, 131.6, 130.0, 129.2, 128.8, 126.5, 124.2, 123.9, 116.9, 46.4, 33.4; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1652. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}BrNO (M + Na)^+$: 376.0308. Found: 376.0304.

(2-Bromophenyl)(7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) methanone 3g. (101 mg, 95% yield): white solid, mp 84–85 °C; R_f = 0.39 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/5$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.33 (s, 1H), 7.17 (s, 5H), 7.09 (s, 2H), 6.90 (s, 1H), 6.19−6.08 (m, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 2H), 2.77 (s, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆, 150 °C) δ 166.8, 143.4, 139.3, 138.5, 134.3, 132.4, 130.2, 128.9, 128.1, 127.6, 126.6, 125.9, 123.2, 119.4, 117.5, 45.9, 32.0; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1645, 1629, 1596, 1391. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}BrNO (M + Na)^+$: 376.0308. Found: 376.0300.

Benzyl 7-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine-1-carboxylate 3h. (72 mg, 79% yield): white solid, mp 95−96 °C; $R_f = 0.67$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.42 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.37−7.29 (m, 3H), 7.26−7.22 (m, 3H), 6.98−6.96 (m, 2H), 6.03(t, J = 2.7 Hz, 2H), 6.00−5.99 (m, 1H), 4.96 (s, 2H), 3.76 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 2H), 2.67−2.64 (m, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 154.4, 142.6, 139.5, 139.4, 135.7, 133.4, 128.4, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, 125.4, 122.9, 115.5, 67.3, 46.4, 32.5; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1698. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $\rm C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$ (M + Na)+ : 328.1308. Found: 328.1305.

Ethyl 7-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepine-1-carboxylate 3i. (52 mg, 71% yield): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.67$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.42–7.30 (m, 5H), 6.03– 6.02 (m, 2H), 5.95–5.94 (m, 1H), 3.92 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (t, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.65−2.62 (m, 2H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H);¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 153.9, 143.4, 139.5, 133.5, 128.5, 127.8, 125.6, 123.5, 115.5, 61.2, 46.6, 32.8, 14.2; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 2964, 1715, 1261. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{15}H_{17}NO_2 (M + Na)^+$: 266.1152. Found: 266.1150.

1-(7-Phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)ethan-1-one 3j. (63 mg, 74% yield): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.50$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.51–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.45– 7.42 (m, 2H), 7.38−7.34 (m, 1H), 6.19 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 6.07 (s, 2H), 3.74 (s, 2H), 2.66 (s, 2H), 1.66 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.3, 143.6, 139.3, 135.5, 130.0, 129.2, 126.2, 123.4, 118.2, 45.9, 32.4, 24.2; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1665, 1386. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO (M + Na)^{+}$: 236.1046. Found: 236.1041.

(7-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) (phenyl) methanone **3k**. (84 mg, 92% yield): white solid, mp 129−130 °C; $R_f = 0.36$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/7); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.23–7.13 (m, 5H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 6.14 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.71 (s, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 169.4, 159.7, 145.0, 138.0, 134.4, 132.0, 130.7, 128.5, 127.9, 127.8, 124.1, 114.8, 114.5, 56.0, 46.4, 33.3; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1643, 1388. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 328.1308. Found: 328.1302.

Phenyl(7-(p-tolyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)methanone 3l. (71 mg, 82% yield): white solid, mp 129−130 °C; R_f = 0.36 (EtOAc/

petroleum ether = $1/7$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.24−7.13 (m, 5H), 7.05 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.19−6.12 (m, 2H), 5.94 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 2.72 (s, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.4, 145.3, 137.9, 136.6, 135.0, 130.7, 129.7, 128.4, 128.0, 126.3, 124.0, 115.7, 46.4, 33.4, 21.5; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1643, 1385. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NO (M + Na)^{+}$: 312.1359. Found: 312.1353.

(7-(4-Fluorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) (phenyl) methanone 3m. (79 mg, 90% yield): white solid, mp 124−125 °C; $R_f = 0$. 50 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.26–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.19–7.16 (m, 6H), 6.95– 6.89 (m, 2H), 6.22–6.15 (m, 2H), 5.94 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (s, 2H), 2.72 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.4, 163.5, 161.1, 144.2, 137.9, 136.1, 135.4, 130.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 127.9, 123.9, 116.4, 116.0, 115.8, 46.3, 33.4; 19F NMR (376 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ −114.1; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1644, 1383. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}NOF (M + H)^{+}$: 294.1289. Found: 294.1285.

(7-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) (phenyl) methanone 3n. (87 mg, 94% yield): white solid, mp 145−146 °C; $R_f = 0.49$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.25 (q, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.17 (m, 8H), 6.24−6.13 (m, 2H), 6.00 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 2H), 2.73 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.3, 143.9, 138.4, 137.8, 136.0, 132.9, 130.9, 129.0, 128.2, 127.9, 123.9, 117.1, 46.2, 33.4; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1649, 1382. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}NOCl (M + H)⁺$: 310.0993. Found: 310.0990.

(7-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) (phenyl) methanone 3o. (97 mg, 91% yield): white solid, mp 137−138 °C; $R_f = 0.52$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.31 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H), 7.28–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 3H), 7.10 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.25−6.13 (m, 2H), 6.01 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 2H), 2.72 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.3, 144.0, 138.8, 137.8, 136.1, 132.0, 130.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.0, 123.9, 121.5, 117.1, 46.3, 33.4; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1647, 1381. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}NOBr (M + H)^{+}$: 354.0488. Found: 354.0482.

(7-(2-Bromophenyl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl) (phenyl) methanone 3p. (101 mg, 94% yield): white solid, mp 95−96 °C; $R_f = 0.29$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 °C) δ 7.42–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.27 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 7.03−7.00 (m, 2H), 6.87−6.85 (m, 1H), 6.25−6.22 (m, 1H), 6.16−6.11 (m, 1H), 5.77 (d, J $= 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.06 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 2.72 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 169.2, 144.0, 139.9, 138.1, 136.1, 133.8, 133.0, 130.6, 130.0, 128.9, 128.0, 127.3, 123.7, 121.4, 119.5, 46.7, 33.7; IR (KBr, cm[−]¹) ν 1649, 1379. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{16}NOBr (M + H)^{+}$: 354.0488. Found: 354.0482.

Phenyl(7-(thiophen-2-yl)-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)methanone **3q.** (80 mg, 93% yield): white solid, mp 117−118 °C; $R_f = 0.39$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/5$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.32−7.28 (m, 3H), 7.24−7.21 (m, 3H), 6.89 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.82−6.80 (m, 1H), 6.22−6.10 (m, 2H), 6.04 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (s, 2H), 2.71 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 169.2, 143.5, 139.3, 137.7, 135.7, 130.9, 128.5, 128.5, 127.9, 127.0, 125.8, 123.6, 115.5, 46.3, 33.2; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1642, 1386. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{17}H_{15}NOS (M + Na)^{+}$: 304.0767. Found: 304.0761.

(6-Methyl-7-phenyl-2,3-dihydro-1H-azepin-1-yl)(phenyl) methanone 3r. (73 mg, 84% yield): white solid, mp 112−113 °C; R_f $= 0.43$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether $= 1/4$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO d_6 , 150 °C) δ 7.27 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (s, 3H), 7.00 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 2H), 6.12 (s, 2H), 4.08 (s, 2H), 2.68 (s, 2H), 1.86 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO d_6) δ 169.7, 140.2, 139.6, 138.4, 133.8, 130.3, 129.9, 129.4, 128.5, 128.3, 127.7, 127.5, 124.0, 48.4, 32.9, 21.2; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1652, 1389. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NO (M + Na)^{+}$: 312.1359. Found: 312.1354.

7,8-Dihydro-5H-azepino[2,1-a]isoindol-5-one 3s. (51 mg, 85% yield): yellow oil; R_f = 0.50 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6) \delta$ 7.95−7.93 (m, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.31 (q, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.16−6.15 (m, 2H), 3.94 (t, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 166.0, 138.1, 137.7, 135.4, 133.1, 129.9, 128.6, 125.4, 123.7, 120.7, 104.2, 41.9, 30.3; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 1703, 1645. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for C₁₃H₁₁NO (M + H)+ : 198.0913. Found: 198.0913.

Formation of N-(4-Hydroxy-6-oxo-6-phenylhexyl)benzamide 4a. Under the catalysis of FeCl₃ (30 mol %), 3a was obtained in 18% yield. In addition, product 4a (22.5 mg, 24% yield) was isolated as a white solid: mp 95−96 °C; R_f = 0.21 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 3/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.02–7.86 (m, 2H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.67−7.52 (m, 1H), 7.52−7.43 (m, 3H), 7.43−7.36 (m, 2H), 6.86 (s, 1H), 4.32−4.20 (m, 1H), 3.71−3.40 (m, 3H), 3.18 (dd, J = 17.4, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (q, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 1.93−1.74 (m, 2H), 1.67 $(q, J = 7.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H})$; ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 201.0, 167.6, 136.5, 134.7, 133.7, 131.3, 128.7, 128.5, 128.1, 126.9, 67.6, 45.0, 39.9, 33.7, 25.7; IR (KBr, cm^{−1}) ν 3352, 1682, 1637, 1536. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{21}NO_3$ $(M + Na)^+$: 334.1414. Found: 334.1409.

1-Benzyl-7-phenyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7a. (70 mg, 85% yield): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.31$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆,150 °C) δ 7.45−7.35 (m, 5H), 7.23−7.20 $(m, 3H)$, 6.89 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 6.32–6.37 (m, 2H), 5.91 (q, J = 7.8) H_{Z} , 1H), 4.64 (s, 2H), 3.14 (d, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 168.0, 143.8, 138.4, 129.7, 129.4, 129.0, 128.3, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6, 126.0, 121.4, 49.2, 37.8; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1668. ΗRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{17}NO (M + H)⁺: 276.1383$. Found: 276.1384.

1-(4-Bromobenzyl)-7-phenyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7b. (93 mg, 88% yield): white solid, mp 101−102 °C; R_f = 0.50 (EtOAc/petroleum ether = $1/4$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 ,150 $^{\circ}$ C) δ 7.44–7.37 (m, 7H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 6.33–6.30 (m, 2H), 5.92–5.89 (m, 1H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 3.14 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 168.0, 143.6, 138.3, 137.8, 132.0, 129.9, 129.8, 129.5, 128.3, 128.2, 126.2, 121.5, 120.9, 48.7, 37.8; IR $(KBr, cm^{-1}) \nu$ 1668. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for C₁₉H₁₇NOBr (M + H)+ : 354.0488. Found: 354.0479. A high-quality single crystal was obtained from recrystallization from a mixture of n-hexane and ethyl acetate.

1-(4-Methoxybenzyl)-7-phenyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7c. (80 mg, 87% yield): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.23$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/4); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 150 °C) δ 7.46–7.36 (m, 5H), 6.79 (q, J = 7.8 Hz, 4H), 6.30−6.27 (m, 2H), 5.92−5.89 (m, 1H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 3.76 (s, 3H), 3.12 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 167.9, 159.1, 143.7, 138.5, 130.3, 129.7, 129.4, 129.1, 128.3, 128.2, 126.0, 121.4, 114.4, 55.9, 48.6, 37.9; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1668, 1513. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NO_2$ (M + Na)⁺: 328.1308. Found: 328.1305.

7-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-1-methyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7d. (58 mg, 84% yield): white solid, mp 93–94 °C; $R_f = 0.60$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = 1/1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_{ω} 150 °C) δ 7.38 (dd, $J = 6.9$, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (dd, $J = 6.6$, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.29 (q, J $= 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.21 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 5.86–5.80 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.04 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 168.0, 160.3, 144.7, 130.8, 129.5, 128.0, 125.2, 117.8, 115.1, 56.1, 37.8, 35.9; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1655. ΗRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO_2 (M + H)^+$: 230.1176. Found: 230.1175.

1-Methyl-7-phenyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7e. (56 mg, 94% yield): colorless oil; $R_f = 0.37$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/2); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 150 °C) δ 7.47–7.41 (m, 5H), 6.33–6.28 (m, 2H), 5.89–5.84 (m, 1H), 3.06 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.85 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 168.0, 145.0, 138.5, 129.7, 129.4, 128.2, 128.0, 125.7, 118.9, 37.8, 35.9; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) $ν$ 1666. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{13}H_{13}NO (M + H)^{+}$: 200.1070. Found: 200.1070.

7-(4-Chlorophenyl)-1-methyl-1,3-dihydro-2H-azepin-2-one 7f. (66 mg, 95% yield): pale yellow oil; $R_f = 0.53$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/1); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6 150 °C) δ 7.52–7.43 (m, 4H), 6.33−6.30 (m, 2H), 5.91−5.85 (m, 1H), 3.05 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 2.84 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 168.1, 143.7,

137.4, 133.9, 130.0, 129.7, 127.8, 126.1, 119.4, 37.8, 35.8; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1666, 1510. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for C₁₃H₁₂NOCl (M + H)⁺: 234.0680. Found: 234.0679.

Formation of 2-(4-Bromobenzyl)-5-hydroxy-3-phenylcyclohept-3-en-1-one 6b. Under the standard conditions for the synthesis of 7b, the reaction was quenched at 20 min. 7b was obtained in 49% yield. In addition, product 6b (49 mg, 44% yield) was isolated as a pale yellow oil: $R_f = 0.15$ (EtOAc/petroleum ether = 1/ 3); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl¹₃) δ 7.44−7.39 (m, 3H), 7.35−7.34 (m, 2H), 7.33−7.32 (m, 2H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 5.94 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28−4.22 (m, 1H), 3.75 (d, J = 14.2 Hz, 1H), 2.72−2.64 (m, 1H), 2.62−2.52 (m, 1H), 2.41−2.36 (m, 1H), 2.29 (b, 1H), 2.08–2.00 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.5, 139.3, 136.1, 134.9, 131.4, 130.8, 129.0, 129.0, 128.3, 126.8, 121.6, 67.4, 46.5, 39.4, 32.3; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 3387, 1662, 1639, 1388. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{18}BrNO_2$ $(M + H)^+$: 372.0594. Found: 372.0596.

Synthesis of 8. In an oven-dried Schlenk tube (10 mL) were charged with 3g (71 mg, 0.2 mmol), $[Pd(dba)_2]$ (9.2 mg, 0.01 mmol), PPh_3 (5.2 mg, 0.02 mmol), PivOH (6.2 mg, 0.06 mmol), cesium carbonate (97.8 mg, 0.3 mol), and 1.2 mL of mesitylene. The reaction mixture was degassed and then heated at 80 °C. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, and the residue was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography to afford a white solid 8 (50.3 mg, 92% yield): mp 146−147 °C; $R_f = 0.21$ (EtOAc/ petroleum ether = $1/5$); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 7.78 (d, J $= 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51 $(d, J = 7.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.41 (t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 7.38-7.31 (m, 1\text{H}), 7.12$ $(d, J = 7.8 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$, 6.56 $(d, J = 11.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$, 6.18–5.97 $(m, 2H)$, 5.97−5.82 (m, 1H), 4.71 (dd, J = 18.8, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, J = 18.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 166.9, 149.4, 141.9, 133.7, 133.7, 133.2, 130.1, 130.1, 129.8, 129.2, 127.9, 125.5, 125.4, 124.0, 124.0, 73.8, 40.3; IR (KBr, cm⁻¹) ν 1681. HRMS (ESI-ion trap) Calcd for $C_{19}H_{15}NO (M + Na)^{+}$: 296.1046. Found: 296.1042. A highquality single crystal was obtained from recrystallization from a mixture of n-hexane and ethyl acetate.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

6 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021.

Crystallographic data for 3a (CIF) [Crystallographic dat](http://pubs.acs.org)a for 7b [\(CIF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021) Crystallographic data for 8 ([CIF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021/suppl_file/jo5b02021_si_001.cif) Preparation of starting mater[ials](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021/suppl_file/jo5b02021_si_002.cif) 1 and 5, $^1\mathrm{H}$ and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$

NMR spectra of all products[, and](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021/suppl_file/jo5b02021_si_003.cif) X-ray structures of 3a, 7b and 8 (PDF)

■ AUTHOR I[NFOR](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02021/suppl_file/jo5b02021_si_004.pdf)MATION

Corresponding Author

*wangmx@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

Notes

[The authors declare no compet](mailto:wangmx@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn)ing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21320102002) and Postdoctoral Science Foundation of China (2014M560073) for financial Support.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Kulanthaivel, P.; Hallock, Y.; Boros, C.; Hamilton, S. M.; Janzen, W. P.; Ballas, L. M.; Loomis, C. R.; Jiang, J. B.; Katz, B.; Steiner, J. R.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6452.

(2) Ohshima, S.; Yanagisawa, M.; Katoh, A.; Fujii, T.; Sano, T.; Mastukuma, T.; Fujiu, M.; Watanabe, K.; Yokose, K. J. Antibiot. 1994, 47, 639.

(3) Setyawan, J.; Kiode, K.; Diller, T. C.; Bunnage, M. E.; Taylor, S. S.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Brunton, L. L. Mol. Pharmacol. 1999, 56, 370.

(4) Breitenlechner, C. B.; Friebe, W. G.; Brunet, E.; Werner, G.; Graul, K.; Thomas, U.; Kunkele, K. P.; Shafer, W.; Gassel, M.; Bossemeyer, D.; Huber, R.; Engh, R. A.; Masjost, B. J. Med. Chem. 2005, 48, 163.

(5) Valencia, E.; Weiss, I.; Firdous, S.; Freyer, A. J.; Shamma, M.; Urzúa, A.; Fajardo, V. Tetrahedron 1984, 40, 3957.

(6) Valencia, E.; Freyer, A. J.; Shamma, M.; Fajardo, V. Tetrahedron Lett. 1984, 25, 599.

(7) Andersen, J.; Kristensen, A. S.; Bang-Andersen, B.; Strømgaard, K. Chem. Commun. 2009, 3677.

(8) Kinney, W. A.; Luci, D. K.; Marynoff, B. E.; Ghosh, S.; Lawson, E. C. Urotensin ii receptor antagonists. U.S. Patent 20100029616 A1, February 4, 2010.

(9) Luci, D. K.; Lawson, E. C.; Ghosh, S.; Kinney, W. A.; Smith, C. E.; Qi, J.; Wang, Y.; Minor, L. K.; Marynoff, B. E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 4958.

(10) (a) Bremner, J. B.; Samosom, S. Azepines and their fused ring derivatives. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; Katrizky, A. R., Ramsden, C. A., Scriven, E. F. V., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: U.K., 2008; Vol. 13, Chapter 13.01. (b) Le Count, D. Azepines and their fused ring derivatives. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katrizky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Scriven, E. F. V., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: U.K., 1996; Vol. 9, Chapter 9.01. (c) Smalley, R. K. Azepines. In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry; Katrizky, A. R., Rees, C. W., Eds.; Elsevier Science Ltd.: U.K., 1984. Vol. 5, Chapter 5.16.

(11) For recent examples of synthesis, see: (a) Sakya, S. M.; Flick, A. C.; Coe, J. W.; Gray, D. L.; Liang, S.; Ferri, F.; Van Ben Berg, M.; Pouwer, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 723. (b) Mehta, V. P.; Modha, S. G.; Ruijter, E.; Van Hecke, K.; Van Meervelt, L.; Pannecouque, C.; Balzarini, J.; Orru, R. V. A.; Van der Eycken, E. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2828. (c) Yoshimatsu, M.; Tanaka, M.; Fujimura, Y.; Ito, Y.; Goto, Y.; Kobayashi, Y.; Wasada, H.; Hatae, N.; Tanabe, G.; Muraoka, O. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9480. (d) Pellegrino, S.; Bonetti, A.; Clerici, F.; Contini, A.; Moretto, A.; Soave, R.; Gelmi, M. L. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5507. (e) Zhou, M.-B.; Song, R.-J.; Wang, C.-Y.; Li, J.-H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10805. (f) Cini, E.; Bifulco, G.; Menchi, G.; Rodriquez, M.; Taddei, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 2133. (g) Liu, H.; Li, X.; Chen, Z.; Hu, W.-X. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 5184. (12) Wang, M.-X. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 6039.

(13) Gopalaiah, K.; Kagan, H. B. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4599.

(14) Carbery, D. R. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3455.

(15) (a) Matsubara, R.; Kobayashi, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 292 and reference cited therein.. (b) Bernadat, G.; Masson, G. Synlett 2014, 25, 2842 and reference cited therein..

(16) (a) Yang, L.; Deng, G.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Zhu, J.-P.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1387. (b) Yang, L.; Zheng, Q.-Y.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2461.

(17) (a) Yang, L.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 10390. (b) Yang, L.; Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3918. (c) Tong, S.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 4417.

(18) Tong, S.; Yang, X.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 6492.

(19) (a) Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 4708. (b) Lei, C.-H.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhao, L.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Chem. - Eur. J. 2013, 19, 16981. (c) Lei, C.-H.; Zhao, L.; Wang, D.-X.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 909.

(20) (a) Padwa, A.; Akiba, M.; Cohen, L. A.; MacDonald, J. G. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 695. (b) Suen, Y. H.; Horeau, A.; Kagan, H. B. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1965, 5, 1454. (c) Kobayashi, K.; Matsumoto, K.; Nakamura, D.; Fukamachi, S.; Konishi, H. Helv. Chim. Acta 2010, 93, 1048.

(21) Yang, L.; Tong, S.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Zhu, J.; Wang, M.-X. Synlett 2011, 2011, 927.

(22) Saget, T.; Cramer, N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 7865.